

Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical 109 (1996) 119-125



New catalytic system of N, N'-diphenylurea synthesis from nitrobenzene, carbon monoxide and water or aniline

Vendelín Macho^{a,*}, Milan Králik^a, František Halmo^b

^a Department of Organic Technology, Slovak Technical University, Radlinského 9, 812 37 Bratislava, Slovak Republic ^b Research Institute for petrochemistry, 97 104 Prievidza, Slovak Republic

Received 1 September 1995; revised 9 December 1995; accepted 14 December 1995

Abstract

The influence of aniline (PhNH₂) on the reductive carbonylation of nitrobenzene (PhNO₂) by carbon monoxide and an excess of methanol, catalyzed by (w/w % with respect to PhNO₂): sulfur (10), sodium methoxide (2), ammonium metavanadate (0.1), at 423 ± 2 K and starting pressure of CO 13 MPa (298 K) was investigated. Methyl-*N*-phenylcarbamate (MPC) is formed predominantly, via the formation of the intermediate *N*,*N*'-diphenylurea (DPU) which is subsequently alcoholyzed by methanol. *N*-Phenylformamide (NPF) is the main by product. In the presence of water, PhNO₂ is carbonylatively reduced to PhNH₂, and DPU is formed by reductive carbonylation from PhNO₂. CO and PhNH₂. Thus, DPU can be obtained directly from PhNO₂ in a one-step process. If an excess of PhNH₂ is applied, the conversion of PhNO₂ is practically total, a small amount of NPF is formed as in the case of the reductive carbonylation of PhNO₂ in the presence of methanol. The efficiency of other tested sulfur compounds increases in the sequence: $S < CS_2 \ll Na_2S < H_2S < COS$. The dominant effect of sulfur components, a basic environment as well as the promotive effect of vanadium(V) compounds on the reductive carbonylation and carbonylative reduction of nitrocompounds has been confirmed.

Keywords: N,N'-Diphenylurea; Nitrobenzene; Aniline; Methyl-N-phenyl carbamate; Sulfur compounds; Vanadium; Reduction; Carbonylation

1. Introduction

N, N'-Diphenylurea (DPU) is seeing growing interest in the field of chemical technology, e.g. it is a promising intermediate for the production of isocyanates by phosgene-free routes [1]. DPU can be prepared by various well known processes [2,3]. The formation of dialkylureas and diarylureas from alkylamines reacting with stoi-

* Corresponding author. Fax: (+42-7) 493198.

chiometric amounts of sulfur and carbon monoxide at approx. 100°C is reported [4]:

$$2RNH_2 + CO + S \rightarrow RNH - (C=O) - NHR + H_2S$$
(1)

Similarly, DPU is formed from stoichiometric amounts of aniline and carbon oxysulfide [5]:

$$2PhNH_2 + COS \rightarrow (PhNH)_2CO + H_2S \qquad (2)$$

Furthermore, the 50% yield of DPU, calculated with respect to COS, was achieved upon

^{1381-1169/96/\$15.00 © 1996} Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved. *Pll* \$1381-1169(96)00006-4

reacting $PhNO_2$, COS and water in the presence of bases and high pressures [6]. Work [7,8] carried out on the reaction of $PhNO_2$, CO and water catalyzed by selenium or on compounds of ruthenium and rhenium using hydrogen instead of water.

$$2\text{PhNO}_2 + 5\text{CO} + \text{H}_2 \xrightarrow[\text{solvent}]{\text{catal.}} (\text{PhNH})_2\text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} + 4\text{CO}_2 \qquad (3)$$

. . . 1

They reported a 66.3% yield of DPU, but investigation of the effects of a basic environment are not mentioned.

In our previous work [3], similarly to observations of Dieck et al. [9], the formation of DPU from PhNO₂, CO and H₂, or alternatively water, with palladium and Lewis acids as catalysts, in a one-step process has been described. A remarkable series of papers [10] dealing with mainly palladium catalyzed synthesis of DPU from PhNO₂, PhNH₂ and CO appeared in a connection with a previous report [3]. The stoichiometry of this synthesis is expressed by:

PhNO₂ + PhNH₂ + 3CO
$$\xrightarrow{\text{catal.}}$$
 (PhNH)₂CO
+ 2CO₂ (4)

The results mentioned above, together with an interesting paper devoted to the oxidative carbonylation of aniline by carbon monoxide and oxygen, to give DPU in the presence of selenium, tellurium or sulfur as catalyst [11–14], particularly in the form of low molar weight compounds [15–19], have given rise to speculation about possible routes of preparation of DPU, and other diarylureas. Details of the synthesis of DPU from PhNO₂, CO and water or aniline, using a new catalytic system are presented here.

2. Experimental

All organic and inorganic chemicals were of analytical grade. $PhNO_2$ and $PhNH_2$ were purified by vacuum distillation in an inert atmosphere prior to use. The content of water (w/w %) was 0.026% in PhNO₂, 0.046% in PhNH₂ and 0.11% in methanol. The carbon monoxide utilized in experiments contained impurities (vol. %): hydrogen: 1.2, nitrogen: 0.6, oxygen: 0.1, COS: 8.7×10^{-6} , H₂S: 7.5×10^{-4} , CS₂: 5×10^{-6} . The determination of sulfur compounds in the supply of CO was very important for evaluation of the contribution of these species to the activity of the new catalytic system.

The reductive carbonylation was carried out in a 500 ml stainless steel high pressure reactor system rotating at 240 rpm with heating controlled to ± 2 K. Air present in the reactor was not removed before addition of the reactants. The initial pressure of CO was 13 MPa/298 K.

Reaction mixture was analyzed by GC and HPLC, following procedures described in detail in our previous work [15–19]. Sulfur compounds in the supply of CO were analyzed by the GC instrument MCH-120 using the Teflon column (length 1800 mm, inner diameter 3.2 mm, Chromosil 330 as stationary phase) operated at 40°C, equipped with a flame photometric detector. Nitrogen as carrying gas, hydrogen and air as auxiliary gases were utilized.

3. Results and discussion

With regard to the formation of $PhNH_2$ (even that in a negligible amount) as the by product of reductive carbonylation of PhNO₂ in the presence of methanol to MPC [15-19], the influence of the PhNO₂ to PhNH₂ molar ratio in the reductive carbonylation of PhNO₂, was our main interest. The results are summarized in Table 1. When only $PhNO_2$ was treated (the run 1), the 96% selectivity to MPC and non-formation of NPF, with total conversion of PhNO₂, was achieved. PhNH₂ on its own (the run 2) exhibited the selectivities of 56% to MPC, 25% to DPU and 19% to NPF, with 21% conversion of PhNH₂ being achieved after 4 h. In the latter case, the partial oxidative carbonylation of PhNH₂ [15] consuming oxygen from CO and

from the air present in the reactor (the volume of the reactor was not outgassed before the experiment) is supposed to take place, as outlined in Eqs. (5) and (6).

PhNH₂ + CO +
$$\frac{1}{2}O_2 \xrightarrow{\text{catal.}} (\text{PhNH})_2\text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O}$$
(5)

$$(PhNH)_2CO + CH_3OH \xrightarrow{catal.} PhNH(C=O)OCH_3$$

 $+ PhNH_2$ (6)

 $PhNH_2$ can also react with CO, obeying the reaction:

$$PhNH_2 + CO \rightarrow PhNHCOOH$$
 (7)

All other experiments of the reductive carbonylation of $PhNO_2$ in the presence of methanol and various amounts of $PhNH_2$ showed reaction (4) to be dominant. The reaction of $PhNH_2$, CO and CH_3OH (formation of MPC, Eq. (8)) proceeds significantly slower than reaction (4), probably, due to the lower basicity of methanol than $PhNH_2$.

PhNH₂ + 3CO + CH₃OH
$$\xrightarrow{\text{catal.}}$$
 PhNHCOOCH₃
+ 2CO₂ (8)

The dominance of reaction (4) has been also

proved by results of analysis of the 'pure' reaction time t_p as a function of the amount of PhNO₂ in the mixture of PhNO₂ with the PhNH₂ at the start of reaction. The 'pure' reaction time was determined from a decrease of the total pressure after recalculation the consumption of CO to standard conditions, under assumption of the total conversion of PhNO₂ with respect to the stoichiometry of Eqs. (4) and (8). The concave parabolic shape of the curve in Fig. 1 illustrates a positive effect of increasing amount of PhNH₂ on the reaction rate.

Experiments carried out for longer than $t_{\rm p}$ showed increased amounts of MPC formed by alcoholysis of DPU by CH₃OH (6). However, higher amounts of PhNH, in the reaction mixture increases the extent of reaction (7) and consequently, the selectivity to NPF formation is increased (runs 4-10, Table 1). Due to the calculation of selectivities with respect to starting amount of MPC, experiments with low molar ratios ' $mr = PhNO_2$:PhNH₂' allowed selectivities higher than 100% to be achieved, e.g. 112% for 'mr = 1:7' (Table 1, run 10). This result again confirms the course of oxidative carbonylation of $PhNH_2$ (7). Finally, we cannot exclude formation of DPU via reactions (1) and (2), though we were not successful in finding

Table 1

The influence of PhNO₂:PhNH₂ molar ratio on the reductive carbonylation ^a of PhNO₂ in the presence of methanol

Run	Molar ratio	Conversion PhNO ₂	Selectivity	^b (%)	Selectivity PhNH ₂ to NPF (%)	
	PhNO ₂ :PhNH ₂		MPC °	PhNH ₂	DPU ^d	
1	1:0	100	96	3	_	0
2	0:1	21 °	56 °		25 °	19 ^e
3	1:0.5	100	83	1	16	6
4	1:1	100	85		14	2
5	1:2	100	87		13	7
6	1:3	100	86	_	13	6
7	1:4	100	85	-	15	13
8	1:5	100	87	_	13	18
9	1:6	100	100	_	2	18
10	1:7	100	112	-	0.5	20

^a Conditions: reactor 500 cm³, PhNO₂ + PhNH₂ = 0.4 mol, methanol 3 mol, sulfur 0.15 mol, sodium methoxide 0.018 mol, sodium metavanadate 2.3×10^{-5} mol, 4 h, 423 K, 13 MPa (298 K) at the start.

^b Selectivity calculated to the conversion of PhNO₂.

^c Methyl-N-phenyl carbamate.

^d N, N'-diphenylurea.

^e Selectivity to the conversion of PhNH₂.



Fig. 1. Dependence of the pure reaction time (t_p) of reductive carbonylation of PhNO₂, on the mole fraction of PhNO₂ (p_{PhNO2}) in the mixture of PhNO₂ and PhNH₂ in the starting reaction mixture. Conditions are given in the notes to Table 1.

convincing evidence of this. An excess of methanol and a sufficiently long reaction time have caused the extensive alcoholysis of DPU to MPC and $PhNH_2$ (6) which is shown by all the runs in Table 1.

Next we investigated the effects of modifiers of the catalytic system, on the formation of DPU. This was both to utilize the intermediate $PhNH_2$ for the preparation of DPU (our previous observations [3]), and the low sum of selectivities achieved in the carbonylative reduction and the reductive carbonylation of nitroaromates to arylamines and methyl-*N*-aryl carbamates [15–18]. Results obtained under certain reaction conditions are listed in Table 2, from which, also, a reproducibility of experiments (the first 3 runs) can be evaluated.

In accord with previous results [16], $PhNO_2$ is carbonylatively reduced to $PhNH_2$ in the presence of water.

$$PhNO_2 + 3CO + H_2O \xrightarrow{catal.} PhNH_2 + 3CO_2$$
 (9)

Table 2

Carbonylative reduction ^a of PhNO₂ conjugated with the reductive carbonylation of PhNO₂ and PhNH₂

			b www.b					e	0.1. (m) f		
Run	T	Dioxane	Water	r _{wp}	Catalytic system (9	6) -		x	Selectivity (%)		
	(K)	(%)	(%)		Sulfur compound	Et ₃ N	Vanadium compound	(%)	PhNH ₂	DPU	NPF
1	393	_	200	6.85	$H_2S(1.2)$	8	0.2	53	15	82	1.7
2	393		200	6.85	$H_2^{-}S(1.2)$	8	0.2	66	16	82	1.4
3	393	_	200	6.85	$H_2^{-}S(1.2)$	8	0.2	57	13	81	1.6
4	393	_	200	6.85	$H_{2}^{-}S(4.8)$	8	0.2	54	44	53	1.8
5	413	—	7	0.48	$H_{2}^{-}S(2)$	6	0.4	37	67	31	1.2
6	413	-	10	0.68	$H_{2}^{T}S(2)$	6	0.4	52	68	28	1.3
7	413	_	17	1.16	$H_{2}^{T}S(2)$	6	0.4	62	59	38	1.4
8	413	_	50	3.42	COS (2)	8	0.4	99	38	59	1.0
9	413	-	50	3.42	$CS_{2}(10)$	8	0.4	87	29	70	1.0
10	423	100	3.2	0.22	$H_{2}S(2)$	10	0.2	20	75	24	1.0
11	423	100	8	0.55	$H_{2}^{T}S(2)$	2	0.2	43	61	38	1.0
12	423	100	8	0.55	$H_{2}^{T}S(2)$	10	0.2	50	44	55	1.0
13	423	100	8	0.55	$H_{2}^{T}S(2)$	2	0.2	44	57	42	1.0
14	423	100	11.6	0.8	$H_{2}^{T}S(4)$	4	0.2	52	69	28	1.9
15	423	100	200	6.85	$H_{2}S(4)$	4	0.2	94	74	22	2.1
16	423	_	200	6.85	$Na_2 S (0.97)^{g}$	-	0.2	35	33	61	2.0
17	423	-	200	6.85	$Na_2^{-}S(1.46)^{-g}$	_	0.2	37	48	49	2.2
18	423	_	200	6.85	$Na_{2}^{2}S(2.9)^{8}$	-	0.2	48	93	4	2.6
19	423		200	6.85	$Na_{2}^{-}S(2.9)^{g}$	2	0.2	97	92	5	2.8

^a Conditions: reactor 500 cm³, PhNO₂ 50 g, dioxane, water, components of the catalytic system, 4 h, 423 K, 13 MPa(298 K) at the start. ^b w/w % with respect to PhNO₂.

^c Molar ratio water:PhNO₂.

^d w/w % with respect to PhNO₂. Et₃N: triethylamine, vanadium compound: NH₄VO₃.

^e Conversion of PhNO₂. (w/w % to PhNO₂).

^f With respect to the conversion of PhNO₂.

^g In the form of Na₂S \cdot 3H₂O, expressed as w/w % to PhNO₂.

 $PhNH_2$ can react giving NPF (7), but mainly it is consumed in the reductive carbonylation of $PhNO_2$ to DPU (4). The conversion of $PhNO_2$ at temperatures from 393 up to 423 K and reaction time 4 h, is relatively low, except for the run in which COS was prepared as the component of the catalytic system prior to the start of the reaction. The positive feature of these runs is the good selectivity to DPU. A polar organic solvent (e.g. dioxane), in addition to better solubility of $PhNO_2$ in the reaction mixture, also improves the contact of reactants in the individual phases. As a result of these effects, both the rate of reaction and the selectivity to PhNH₂ formation increase. The selectivity to NPF is low because of the formation of NPF by the consequent reaction of PhNH₂ and CO(7) which is slower than the formation of DPU via the reductive carbonylation of PhNO₂ by $PhNH_2$ and CO (4). Moreover, DPU is hydrolyzed by water:

 $(PhNH)_2CO + H_2O \rightarrow 2PhNH_2 + CO_2 \qquad (10)$

Also, the hydrolysis of NPF can be supposed:

 $PhNHCOH + H_2O \rightarrow PhNH_2 + HCOOH$ (11)

If reaction (11) proceeds to a significant extent, formic acid generated in the reaction system, can decrease the basicity of a reaction environment, as a result of this, the rate of carbonylative reduction is decreased, or can even stop altogether.

The necessity to have sulfur compounds and a strong basic reaction environment led us to experiments with Na₂S as a component of the catalytic system (Table 2, runs 16–19). Suitability of this component is evident, especially for the carbonylative reduction of nitrobenzene, and likely, other nitroaromates, too. If a polar organic solvent would be applied, an improvement of the reaction rate and particularly the selectivity to DPU is expected. The further improvement of the process can be achieved by utilization of phase transfer components, e.g. similarly like in the case of Et₃N (the comparison of runs 18 and 19 in Table 2, run 8 in Table 3).

On the basis of previous results [15-19], we supposed a proportionality of the behaviour (the reaction rate and selectivities) of reductive carbonylation of PhNO₂ by CO and PhNH₂, to components of the catalytic system. To check

Table 3 Effect of components of the catalytic system on the reductive carbonylation ^a of PhNO₂ in the presence of PhNH₂

Run	Sulfur compound	Catalytic system (%) b		Conversion (%)		Selectivity ^c	Selectivity ^d to NPF (%)		
		basis	NH ₄ VO ₃	PhNO ₂	PhNH ₂	(%)			
1	H ₂ S(1.5)			39	11	84	12		
2	$H_{2}^{-}S(1.5)$	-	-	20	7	75	38		
3	$H_{2}^{-}S(4)$		0.2	69	19	99	10		
4	$H_{2}^{-}S(2)$	-	0.2	57	18	99	25		
5		Et ₃ N(10)	-	24	10	20	65		
6	$H_2S(2)$	$Et_{3}N(10)$	-	50	16	99	27		
7	$H_{2}S(2)$	$Et_{3}N(10)$	0.2	98	32	94	17		
8	$H_{2}S(2)$	$Et_{3}N(10)$	0.2	98	29	98	17		
9	$CS_2(2)$	$Et_{3}N(10)$	0.2	99	30	96	32		
10	$CS_{2}(2)$	$Et_{3}N(10)$	0.2	45	15	86	32		
11	$H_{2}S(2)$	CH ₃ ONa(1)	0.2	27	7	99	13		
12		CH ₃ ONa(1)	0.2	11	3	99	10		

^a Conditions: reactor 500 cm³, PhNO₂ 50 g (0.4 mol), PhNH₂ 150 g (1.6 mol), components of the catalytic system, 4 h, 423 ± 2 K, 13 MPa (298 K) at the start.

^b w/w% with respect to PhNO₂, Et₃N: triethylamine.

^c Selectivity of the conversion of $PhNO_2$ to DPU.

^d Selectivity of the conversion of PhNH₂ to NPF.



Fig. 2. Effect of composition of the catalytic system on the rate of reductive carbonylation of $PhNO_2$ by CO and $PhNH_2$. Conditions of experiments are given in the notes to Table 3, numbers over curves denote runs as listed in Table 3.

this proportionality, experiments summarized in Table 3 were performed. The molar ratio $PhNH_2$:PhNO₂ was chosen to set to 4 for all these experiments. From the decrease of pressure of CO, an evaluation of the kinetic behaviour was done, to this, Fig. 2 presents some runs corresponding to Table 3.

The highest rate (run 8) was achieved using the catalytic system (w/w % to PhNO₂): H_2S (2), Et_3N (10), NH_4VO_3 (0.2), and the lowest rate is exhibited by the experiment without addition of base and NH_4VO_3 (the run 2). This implies that the basicity of aniline alone is not sufficient for the appropriate rate of the reaction, which is shown by the runs 3 and 4. Exclusion of ammonium metavanadate from the catalytic system also causes a decrease of the reaction rate, like that shown by run 6 in comparison to that of run 8. The promotive effect of ammonium metavanadate is shown by run 3 (a strong base was not involved) in comparison with run 2. This positive effect is also evident from a comparison of runs 3-8 in Table 3. The negative effect of low solubility of a component of the catalytic system on the activity is shown by comparison of the runs with H_2S (totally soluble), with the runs where CS_2 (partially soluble) was applied.

If a three or more times excess of PhNH₂ is

applied in the starting reaction mixture, the relatively high selectivity to NPF which is formed through the competitive carbonylation (7), can be achieved. As for synthesizing DPU, the best conditions are a pressure of about 10 MPa and a temperature of about 423 K. The 98% selectivity to DPU at 100% conversion of PhNO₂ could be obtained applying the proposed catalytic system. Since the system is not sensitive to common poisons, various sources of unpurified CO, even with a low concentration of CO, can be employed for the reductive carbonylation and carbonylative reduction of organic nitrocompounds on industrial scale. From practical point of view (reaction rate and selectivities to individual products), a good contact between the phases of the reaction system is very important. Proper surface-active components (similarly to Et₃N) can improve the economical features of the process.

The significant influence of sulfur compounds on the rate of reductive carbonylation is illustrated by experiments (runs 5 and 12) in which non-sulfur compounds were added to the reaction system at the start of the reaction and despite their presence, a reasonable reaction rate was observed. Catalytic effects can be attributed to the presence of low amounts of sulfur compounds in CO supplied from a bomb (see Experimental) which once more emphasizes the advantages of the proposed catalytic system for potential industrial applications.

All observations obtained allow us to state the following sequence of the catalytic activity of sulfur compounds: $S < CS_2 \ll Na_2S < H_2S$ < COS. Very likely, COS can be considered to be the main catalytic component, even that COS is a catalytic moiety involved into a catalytic cycle, in a formation of intermediate nitrene by reduction of a nitro group, occurs. Basic environment helps formation of COS substantially [19]. This can be supposed as an analogue to the formation of COSe in the presence of CH₃ONa or Et₃N as catalysts, described by Kondo et al. [12]. The promotive effect of vanadium(V) can be attributed to the assistance of an electron transfer in the reaction system, in particular, the insertion of CO to the nitrene group, but an effect on the formation of COS from CO and S cannot be excluded definitely.

References

- T. Ikariya, M. Itagaki, M. Mizujuchi, J. Sakai and O. Tajima, Jpn. Kokai Tokkyo Koho Jpn. 62-59263 (1987); Chem. Abstr., 107 (1987) 96447c; T. Ikariya et al., Eur. Pat. Appl. EP 310,907 (1989); Chem. Abstr., 111 (1989) 232 337d.
- [2] C. Ferri (Ed.), Reaktionen der organischen Synthese, Georg. Thieme, Verlag, Stuttgart 1978, p. 636-673.
- [3] (a) V. Macho and J. Hudec, Czech. Pat. 162 837 (1976), priority from 24.04.1974; Chem. Abstr., 85 (1976)142876u;
 V. Macho, J. Hudec, M. Polievka and M. Filadelfyova, Chem. Prùm., 25 (1975)140; Chem. Abstr., 85(1976)14876u;
 (b) V. Macho, Czech. Pat. 183 083 (1980); Chem. Abstr., 94 (1981)174 288.
- [4] R.A. Franz and T. Applegath, J. Org. Chem., 26 (1961) 3301; R.A. Franz, F. Applegath, F.V. Morriss and F. Baiocchi, J. Org. Chem., 26 (1961) 3306; R.F. Franz, F. Applegath, F.V. Morriss, F. Baiocchi and C. Bolze, J. Org. Chem., 26 (1961) 3309.
- [5] F. Baiocchi, R.A. Franz and L. Horwitz, J. Org. Chem., 21 (1956) 1546.
- [6] J.J. Harper, J. Chem. Eng. Data, 21 (1976) 245.

- [7] J. Zajacek, J.J. McCoy and K.E. Fuger (Atlantic Richfield Co), Ger. Offen., 2 453 367 (1975); Chem. Abstr., 83 (1975) 163 860h.
- [8] A.F.M. Iqbal, Helv. Chim. Acta, 55 (1972) No 266, 2637; F.
 L'Eplattenier, P. Matthys and F. Calderazzo, Inorg. Chem., 9 (1970) 342.
- [9] H.A. Dieck, R.M. Laine and R.F. Heck, J. Org. Chem., 40 (1975) 2819.
- [10] J.S. Oh, S.M. Lee, J.K. Yeo, Ch.W. Lee and J.S. Lee, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 30 (1991) 1456; S.M. Lee, N.S. Cho, K.D. Kim, J.S. Oh, Ch.W. Lee and J.S. Lee, J. Mol. Catal., 73 (1992) 43; K.D. Kim, S.M. Lee, N.S. Cho, J.S. Oh, Ch.W. Lee and J.S. Lee, J. Mol. Catal., 75 (1992) L1; Ch.W. Lee, J.S. Lee, S.M. Lee, K.D. Kim, N.S. Cho and J.S. Oh, J. Mol. Catal., 81 (1993) 17.
- [11] N. Sonoda, T. Yasuhara, K. Kondo, T. Ikeda and L. Tsutsumi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 93 (1971) 6344.
- [12] K. Kondo, S. Yokoyama, N. Miyoshi, L. Murai and N. Sonoda, Angew. Chem., 91 (1979) 760 and 761.
- [13] N. Kambe, K. Kondo, H. Ishii and N. Sonoda, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 54 (1981) 1460.
- [14] N. Sonoda, Pure Appl. Chem., 65 (1993) 699.
- [15] V. Macho and M. Harustiak, J. Mol. Catal., 91 (1994) L155.
- [16] V. Macho, L. Vojcek, M. Schmidtova and M. Harustiak, J. Mol. Catal., 88 (1994) 177.
- [17] V. Macho, S. Moravek and J. Ilavsky, Chem. Pap., 45 (1991) 363.
- [18] V. Macho, L. Vojcek, M. Terlandova, Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun., 57 (1992) 2605.
- [19] V. Macho, M. Kucera and M. Kralik, Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun., 60 (1995) 514.